



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/899,962		07/06/2001	Ali N. Saleh	M-9838 US	4375
33031	7590	03/10/2006		EXAMINER	
		HENSON ASCO	TRAN, NGHI V		
4807 SPICEWOOD SPRINGS RD. BLDG. 4, SUITE 201				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, T		1		2151	

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/899,962	SALEH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Nghi V. Tran	2151					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 De	ecember 200 <u>5</u> .						
· — · ·	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P1O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwata, U.S. Patent No. 6,026,077 (hereinafter Iwata), in view of Houji, U.S. Patent No. 5,832,197 (hereinafter Houji).
- 3. With respect to claims 1, 9, 17, 25, 33, 41, 44, and 46, Iwata teaches a method for restoring a path in a communication system between zones [see abstract] comprising:
 - establishing an inter-zone link between a first border node (A) of a source zone (701) and a second border node (D) of a destination zone (704) [fig.1];
 - identifying an inter-zone link failure between the source zone and the destination zone [col.10, In.66 - col.11, In.27 and col.12, Ins.40-62];
 - identifying a pre-planned alternative route between the source zone and the destination zone [i.e. previously determine an alternate path, see abstract and fig.1];

Art Unit: 2151

informing a node in the destination zone of alternative route [fig.1];

- informing a node in the source zone of alternative route [fig.1]; and
- providing communication between the destination zone and the source zone via alternative route [fig.4].

However, Iwata does not explicitly show wherein the pre-planned alternative route meets class of service requirements between the source zone and the destination zone.

In a method for restoring a path, Houji suggests or discloses wherein the pre-planned alternative route meets class of service requirements between the source zone and the destination zone [see abstract, figs.1-2, and col.2, ln.46 - col.4, ln.38].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Iwata in view of Houji by the preplanned alternative route meets class of service requirements between the source zone and the adjacent destination zone because this feature performs alternate routing and avoids congestion without interrupting a connection [Houji, col.1, In.28]. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to modify in order to select one of the alternate virtual paths according to their priorities and switches the route to the selected virtual path without interrupting the connection [Houji, col.1, Ins.23-25].

4. With respect to claims 2, 10, 18, 26, and 34, Iwata further suggests routing the pre-planned alternative route through a transit zone [fig.1].

Art Unit: 2151

5. With respect to claim claims 3, 6, 11, 14, 19, 22, 27, 30, 35 and 38, Iwata further teaches requesting new paths to be established between zones [i.e. setting up the alternate path, see abstract].

6. With respect to claims 4-5, 7-8, 12-13, 15-16, 20-21, 23-24, 28-29, 31-32, 36-37, and 39-40, Iwata does not explicitly show the pre-planned alternative route is configured based on class of service requirements.

In a method for restoring a path in a communication system, Houij discloses the pre-planned alternative route is configured based on class of service requirements [see abstract and fig.1].

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Iwata in view of Houij by the preplanned alternative route meets class of service requirements between the source zone and the adjacent destination zone because this feature performs alternate routing and avoids congestion without interrupting a connection [Houji, col.1, In.28]. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to modify in order to select one of the alternate virtual paths according to their priorities and switches the route to the selected virtual path without interrupting the connection [Houji, col.1, Ins.23-25].

7. With respect to claims 42-43 and 45, Iwata further teaches the processor is further configured to:

Art Unit: 2151

 identify an intra-zone failure within at least one of said source zone and said adjacent destination zone [i.e. link state database 102, figs.2-6];
 and

 dynamically identify an alternative route using a distributed restoration process [col.7, In.60 - col.8, In.61].

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-46 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will

Art Unit: 2151

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi V. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-4067. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on (571) 272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nghi V Tran Patent Examiner Art Unit 2151

NT

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER